

## STRONGSVILLE PLANNING COMMISSION

### MINUTES OF MEETING

December 3, 2015

The Planning Commission of the City of Strongsville met at the City Council Chambers located at 18688 Royalton Road, on **Thursday, December 3, 2015 at 7:30 p.m.**

Present: Planning Commission Members: Charlene Barth, Chairwoman, Gregory McDonald, Mary Jane Walker, Brian David and Thomas Stehman; City Council Representative, Scott Maloney; Administration: Assistant Law Director, Daniel Kolick, Assistant City Engineer, Lori Daley, Assistant Building Commissioner, Keith Foulkes, and Fire Department Representative, Randy French.

The following was discussed:

**BREW KETTLE:** Mrs. Daley stated that this application is for Brew Kettle. It is going in up at Whitney and Pearl between Lowe's and Sheetz. No new driveway cuts off Whitney. They will be coming in off the existing drive that they put in with the Sheetz and the existing drive that went in with the Lowe's. The building, parking, they are utilizing an existing detention basin to the north that was constructed for this and then they have another dry basin over here to the west that discharges through the O'Charlies property. There are some items that were discussed earlier and this morning they have some outdoor eating, they have two lower level outdoor eating patios, one upper. One suggestion that came up this morning that we would like to see is that they remove these parking spaces, these 7 handicapped parking spaces on the north side of the building and relocate them within the parking field, pull this curb out so that way they will have some green space, a buffer between the asphalt parking and the patio. They are over on their parking anyway so if they lose the 7 spaces it won't hurt them. Mrs. Barth asked if there were bollards. Mrs. Daley stated that there is a wall and this one has fencing that has columns. Mr. Kolick stated that the elevations would help to get a better idea of this building. That is the very front and this is the tower that you see over here. Mrs. Daley stated that this is the outdoor patio that has the wall around it and this has the columns in between. The way it is right now the parking goes right up to it. We had asked them to revise that. I will flip through the drawings and walk around the building. That is a view of the front. Mrs. Barth asked what is directly around the building. Mrs. Daley stated Lowes, GFS and Sheetz. Mr. Kolick stated that it is a high ceiling for the manufacturing area and a two floor mezzanine. They will be brewing and bottling basically in the southern portion of the building and in the northern portion they have an eating area. Mrs. Barth asked what the hours of operation of the brewing area were. Mr. Russo stated that right now they close anywhere from 11:00 p.m. to Midnight during the week and at 1:00 a.m. or 1:30 a.m. on the weekend. The liquor permit is for 2:00 a.m. Mrs. Barth stated that she was talking about production. Mr. Russo stated that currently they were done by 7:30 p.m. and when we left today we locked the doors. We usually start at 4:00 a.m. to 5:00 a.m. and go from there. Mr.

McDonald asked if there would be commercial production done in this building. Mr. Russo stated that they would be doing commercial production. Mr. McDonald asked if this would be a combination of both private bottling and commercial production. Mr. Russo stated that currently they had 2 buildings with about 25,000 SF under roof. This is to combine both buildings to make it more efficient. Mr. McDonald stated that the original concept hasn't changed. Mr. Russo stated that nothing is going to change it is just combining both. Mrs. Barth asked where the trucks would be coming in onsite. Mr. Russo stated that they would be coming in from behind the building. Mrs. Daley stated that there is a truck dock, it is a depressed dock and there is also a garage door on this side. I am not sure if that is going to be used. Mr. Russo stated that it would be used to get equipment in and out. On that drawing the trucks will come down by Lowes and back in and then they can leave. It is behind it on the south side of the building. Anything manufacturing is on the south side of the building. Mr. Kolick asked what the other doors were. Mr. Russo stated that it was a garage. When you were talking about a two story, one upstairs is warehouse and then a garage for vehicles and trailers to park in there. We have two draft trailers right now and that gives us the ability to secure them at night. Mr. Kolick asked if this entrance here, what is that. Mr. Russo stated that was the entrance for the brew on premise. Mr. Kolick asked if that was just going into the brewery. Mr. Russo stated that it was going into the brew on premise so part of the pub on Pearl Road has a separate entrance and this also has a separate entrance. Inside the whole thing flows together but here this is for the brew on premise only. Mr. McDonald asked if the capacity is increasing. Mr. Russo stated that it would remain 8 kettles. Mr. Kolick asked if part of it was so that the customers could see how the brewing was going on. Mr. Russo stated that no, there are so many things going on with the building and the concept. We can give tours and we built a catwalk up top that you can actually look down below but nobody is on the production floor. You will not be able to see it from the restaurant area through. The second floor will have a catwalk across the end and there is talk about putting windows on the second floor party room to look into the brewery. Mr. Kolick asked if the tower had a second floor or is that just for natural light. Mr. Russo stated that there was not a second floor for the tower. Mr. Kolick stated that he saw that there was an upper patio, is there also seating up here? Mr. Russo stated that it was a party room. We do not have a party room right now so this is actually a party room with its own deck and that is with a window looking down on the brewery floor. Mr. Maloney asked if he was closing down the operation on Progress Drive. Mr. Russo stated that both buildings will be closed. Mr. McDonald asked if the wine making was closing down too. Mr. Russo stated that it was. There is a wine entrance on this side, the main restaurant on that side and brew on premise on this side. Mr. Stehman asked if he was going to be making commercial wine. Mr. Russo stated that he was not. Mr. Kolick asked how many trucks would be coming in and out for the brewery. Mr. Russo stated that everything he was trying to do with the silos would limit the amount of trucks coming in because we can buy in bigger bulk instead of

having truckloads of bags. It would be the production coming out. It would very, most of the pickups are early in the morning, first thing in the morning they would come pick it up. Right now we have one truck a week to pick up product. It could be 2 or 3 trucks depending on the week to pick up product. I have one distributor that picks up and they are a mass distributor. Mrs. Daley stated that the City Planner was okay with the zoning. From Engineering, we will just review their revised plans and then we will need their SWPPP binder which I know that they are working on. Mr. Stehman asked when Mr. Russo planned to be open. Mr. Russo stated that the production side could open next June or July. As that is finishing up we will be focusing on the front of the building so the whole building will open up next year. Mr. McDonald asked how the total square footage of this building compares to what he currently has today. Mr. Russo stated that he believed that it is 10 to 15,000 SF bigger. Mr. Foulkes stated that there were a few items. The dumpster location, we need delineated on the plans. I did talk with them and they have some locations in mind for it and are aware of the masonry enclosure requirements. I also noted that there may be some bollard protection required at that transformer on the south side. I guess it depends on the specifications. That might also be contingent on the utility requirements. We talked about byproducts and waste of the brewing system. How that is going to be stored. My understanding is that it is going to be stored inside. Mr. Russo stated that it is actually a silo. Mr. Foulkes stated that he would also like to see those silos delineated on the plan. I think they are on some drawings but not on all. Any recycled cooking grease that would be associated with the restaurant needs to be stored inside or in the ground. We talked about public access to the building and I think that they have an area there that they tend to do that. Mr. Kolick stated that they talked about the walkway that would cut through to Whitney. They would be taking out a little bit of mounding and have a walkway through there. We were talking about some ground lighting there. Mr. Foulkes stated that when he talked with them, I spoke with Tom and he said that there is a parking pole light that is approximately 60 feet from there and the photometric is probably sufficient for what is there. I don't know if he needs additional lighting. I did speak with Greg Drlik and he said he did not see the need for any additional lighting. He did not think that it was too high of a hazard of an area for any activity. Mr. Foulkes stated that the parking was showing on the plan stated a 389 and now it is 386, we just need to get that ironed out so that we have an accurate number of accessible spaces. The discussion of, as Lori mentioned, pulling back the accessible spaces. Most to the items we discussed are part of the program. Mr. Russo stated that the parking stalls, we were wondering if you guys would be okay with, due to outside eating area on this patio, would you accept us continuing this curb around and eliminating these two ADA stalls, maintaining the 5 ADA stalls and then replacing the 2 in the parking lot just to keep the travel distance to a minimum for patrons. Mr. Kolick stated that the Building Commissioner thought that if you put these ADA stalls here, you would be close enough. I believe that he would prefer that you pull this all the way out so that we protect these people here for sure and

the disabled may be elderly and all that. If someone is going to be jumping a curb and going through the fence it is more likely so we prefer that you take all these spots out and move them into the parking lot these areas which are close to the building. Mr. Russo stated that one side is outside area is eating which, we agree with you 100% here but I am not quite sure here. That is just green space. What we are saying is, we agree with you 100%, maybe take these three out and leave a couple here so that they don't have to cross traffic paths. Mrs. Barth asked if the people will have access to walk there. Mr. Russo stated yes, the sidewalk will stay the same. There is still a sidewalk here. Mrs. Barth stated that you would still have the same problem because if somebody is walking there, that is all I was saying. Mr. Foulkes stated that he believed that the initial intent was to create more of a buffer between the parking area and the patio. As Lori said, they do have a masonry enclosure around one area and then they have columns around the other and I think that the discussion was to delete 4 of those spaces but to create a more open atmosphere. Mr. Russo stated that he agreed with you 100% where the outside eating patio will be but we just wanted to leave some parking on that side. Here is an outside view that helps a little bit better. That is the outdoor patio and we agree with you 100% but we are just saying that we would like to keep some handicapped parking on that side since there is no outside parking there. Mr. Kolick stated that what we were looking at as a City, you have the handicapped parking here, and if you put it in these islands in the first two spots in each one of these, they thought that would be close enough to the building and I think that would probably be a better idea and then you can get more landscaping and green in there. You are going to have a sea of asphalt as it is just for a number of spaces, you can't do anything about it, the spaces are the spaces. I think that they, at least the Building Commissioner talked with him this morning, prefer just to take all these spaces out and move them across here. Mr. Russo stated that was not a problem. Mr. French stated that they needed a private yard hydrant and one was talked about on the northeast corner of the building on a protected island area. Mr. Russo stated that it was shown on the drawing. Mr. French stated that the fire vault will be located on ... this will be fully sprinkler protected and the fire vault will be located off of Whitney Road. There are some problems with the location. Mr. Russo stated that it was located near an existing gas easement and curb south of the building. Mr. French stated that there were existing utility problems that they have to deal with on Whitney Road with in ground utility problems. It might be a little bit back farther off the road then normal but we still need the Fire Department connection which has to be 10 to 15 foot from the road for our trucks to reach it, which will be doable. It will not be as big an obstacle as the vault would have been. The Strongsville Fire Code requires a knox box on the building for emergency access and a final plan review in accordance with the Strongsville Fire Code. Mr. Kolick stated that the Commission is okay to act on this matter. There will be a Public Hearing on the first two items. The third Item "c" should be made subject to our Engineering, Building and Fire Department reports. Mr. Russo stated that there are

existing trees and landscaping along Whitney Road and our one drawing shows landscaping along that road too. The question is, is this landscaping sufficient, we don't want to double up landscaping. Mr. Maloney stated that the existing landscaping is a mess. It was just thrown in there as a buffer to screen people from seeing all the weeds. Mr. Kolick stated that they only put that in temporarily because they know that there was something going in there but we wanted to get it all cleaned up now. Mr. Russo stated that can we use what is acceptable and modify that? Mr. Kolick stated that they needed to get with our City Forester and she will walk you through those steps as far as if there is something usable, she will probably let you use it and if not then you will need to replace it. Mr. Russo stated, okay we just wanted to make sure that we addressed the issue.

**HIGH POINT:** Mrs. Daley stated that this is for the addition of three cluster units to be added to the High Point Subdivision and this will be Phase 12. Drake Road is up there, Saratoga and this is a vacant lot that fronts on Drake Road. It just has the one house on it right now so they would like to add that into High Point and build three clusters out front there. Since High Point originally went in within the last year, our Code changed and the density requirements are now more strict for the single family cluster mix. With the addition of these three clusters they are actually going to be over the density requirement which is 2.6 and they will be at 2.69 so they will have to go to the BZA for a variance. Mr. Kolick stated that here are the lots, they are going to take the back and add it to common area. Mrs. Daley stated that this back strip will be added to the existing common area that is on either side of it and what they plan on doing with these lots is, basically mirror what they already have further to the west so there will be on drive that comes in, splits off onto the three and then they have a small detention basin out front. One thing to note on their plat, they are showing a 15 foot side yard setback to this existing house here which is zoned single family. Code requirement is 35 feet setback but Planning Commission has the authority to grant the lesser. I just wanted to point that out that they are showing a 15 foot setback. Mr. Kolick stated that the ARB also required some landscaping back here because there are some lots that come a little closer back here. Mr. Puzitello stated that the ARB requested that we put a little mound right here with a couple of pine trees because this is a clear shot to the house that is actually right here. Mr. Kolick asked if this person knows that this is going in, where the setback is shortened. Mr. Puzitello stated that he did not know if the resident was aware. Mr. Kolick stated that someone should approach that individual and let him know. Mrs. Walker asked if these lots were where the construction is taking place. Mr. Puzitello stated that the construction was actually taking place up here. There was a house here where Krumplers used to own all this land on Drake Road and they ripped this house down probably 5 years ago so there is nothing on this parcel right now. Mr. Maloney stated that there was a house being built a little farther to the west. Mr. Puzitello stated that there were clusters right here that were put in probably 25

years ago, across from the Baptist Church. Mr. Maloney asked if the High Point HOA was aware of these. Mr. Puzitello stated that Ken Evans and the Trustees have already accepted us to joining High Point and we gave them this 40 foot strip here so that it adjoins this piece, this piece and this piece. Mr. Maloney asked if they knew that they were inheriting another basin. Mr. Puzitello stated that they were not. The basin is staying right here and it is the responsibility of these three people. It is a dry basin and it is small. Mr. Kolick stated that Item "a" needed to be denied and Items "b" and "c" should be tabled until they get their variance from the BZA.

**WOODPECKERS:** Mrs. Daley stated that this is really just cleaning up the parcel. They were in here a month ago for some parking addition out front and at that time we had noted that their building straddled two parcels so all they are doing is consolidating those two so that the building is located on one parcel. Mr. Kolick stated that we requested that they do that and your approval was made subject to them making this all one parcel. Mr. McDonald asked what this was going to be. Mr. Kolick stated that the building was already there, it is an industrial building just down from Sheetz. They do some kind of wood work. Mr. Foulkes stated that there was no report from the Building Department. Mr. French stated that there was no report from the Fire Department. Mr. Kolick stated that the Commission could act on this matter this evening.

**AT & T:** Mrs. Daley stated that this is a new cabinet and concrete pad. This is located behind an existing cabinet and pad on Whitney Road across from where the Brew Kettle is. There is no issue with it from the City Planner or from Engineering. Mr. Kolick stated that there is already a cabinet there and they are just adding another cabinet behind. I have the easements so you can act on it. Mr. Foulkes stated that there was no report from the Building Department. Mr. French stated that there was no report from the Fire Department.

The meeting was called to order at 8:00 PM by the Chairwoman, Mrs. Barth

Roll Call:

Members Present:

Mrs. Barth  
Mrs. Walker  
Mr. McDonald  
Mr. David  
Mr. Stehman  
Mr. Maloney

Also Present:

Mr. Foulkes, Asst Bldg. Com.  
Mrs. Daley, Asst. Engineer  
Mr. Kolick, Asst. Law Dir.  
Mr. French, Fire Dept. Rep,

Carol Oprea, Recording Secy.

**MOTION TO EXCUSE:**

Mr. McDonald - Mrs. Chairwoman.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. McDonald.

Mr. McDonald - I move to excuse Mayor Perciak for just cause.

Mrs. Walker – Second.

Mrs. Barth – Secretary, please call the roll.

Roll Call: All Ayes APPROVED

**REVISED AGENDA**

Mr. McDonald – Mrs. Chairwoman.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. McDonald.

Mr. McDonald – I move to use the Revised Agenda for this evening.

Mrs. Walker – Second.

Mrs. Barth – Secretary please call the roll.

Roll Call: All Ayes APPROVED

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Mrs. Barth – You have had a chance to review the minutes of November 5, 2015. If there are no additions or corrections they will stand as submitted.

**PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

**BREW KETTLE/ Tucker Cope, Agent**

a) Conditional Use Permit pursuant to C. O. Sections 1258.03(a)(3)(A)(10) and 1242.07 to utilize 13,593 SF as a sit-down restaurant with a maximum seating of 276 for The Brew Kettle located on Pearl Road, PPN 395-10-003 zoned General Business.

b) Conditional Use Permit pursuant to C. O. Sections 1258.03(a)(3)(A)(7) and 1242.07 to use 3,206.20 SF as an outdoor patio with a maximum seating of 186 for The Brew Kettle located on Pearl Road, PPN 395-10-003 zoned General Business.

c) Site Plan approval of a 32,000 SF restaurant, wine room, production facility, warehouse, office and banquet room for the Brew Kettle located on Pearl Road, PPN 395-10-003 zoned General Business. *ARB Favorable Recommendation 9-22-15.*

Mrs. Barth – Item Number One, Brew Kettle, anyone wishing to speak in favor, please step forward and state your name and address for the record.

Mr. Cope – Tucker Cope, 170 Ducane Street, Columbiana, Ohio.

Mr. Cope – Tucker Cope Jr., 170 Ducane Street, Columbiana, Ohio.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, is there anyone else who would like to speak in favor or against? Seeing and hearing none I declare the Public Hearing closed and we will listen to the Administrative Reports, Mrs. Daley.

Mrs. Daley – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the City Planner, the site plan indicates a total of 396 parking spaces which conforms to the minimum Zoning Code requirement of 386 parking spaces. The building and parking areas comply with the minimum setback requirements of the General Business Zoning District. From Engineering there is no report on Items “a” and “b” and Item “c” the site plan is in approvable form subject to a review of the revised plans that will be submitted and a submittal of their storm water pollution prevention plan and also on the revised plans the applicants engineer will show revisions to the parking lot that we requested in Caucus. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Foulkes.

Mr. Foulkes – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Building Department, there is no report on Item “a” or “b”. On Item “c” the site plan is in approvable form subject to resolution of the items discussed in Caucus and delineated on the plans submitted. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. French.

Mr. French – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Fire Department, there is no report on Item “a” or “b”. On Item “c” the Strongsville Fire Department needs a private



yard hydrant on the northeast side corner of this building in a protected island area. The fire vault should be located on Whitney Road as close to Whitney Road as possible but we will need a fire department connection located within 10 to 15 feet of the curb of the road. The Strongsville Fire Code also requires a knock box on the exterior of the building for emergency access. This is all subject to a final plan review in accordance with the Strongsville Fire Code. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Kolick.

Mr. Kolick – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. You can act on Items “a” and “b”. Item “c” if approved should be made subject to the Engineering, Building and Fire Department reports as read here this evening. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, I would entertain a motion for Brew Kettle.

Mr. McDonald – Mrs. Chairwoman.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. McDonald.

Mr. McDonald – I move to give favorable consideration for Conditional Use Permit pursuant to C. O. Sections 1258.03(a)(3)(A)(10) and 1242.07 to utilize 13,593 SF as a sit-down restaurant with a maximum seating of 276 for The Brew Kettle located on Pearl Road, PPN 395-10-003 zoned General Business.

Mrs. Walker – Second.

Mrs. Barth – Secretary please call the roll.

Roll Called

All Ayes

APPROVED

Mr. McDonald – Mrs. Chairwoman.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. McDonald.

Mr. McDonald – I move to give favorable consideration for Conditional Use Permit pursuant to C. O. Sections 1258.03(a)(3)(A)(7) and 1242.07 to use 3,206.20 SF as an outdoor patio with a maximum seating of 186 for The Brew Kettle located on Pearl Road, PPN 395-10-003 zoned General Business.

Mrs. Walker – Second.



Mrs. Daley – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the City Planner, the applicant is proposing to add 1.59 acres of land area and 3 dwelling units to the existing High Point development. The end result is an overall development density for the project of 2.69 dwelling units per acre which exceeds the allowable density of 2.6 units per acre, therefore a variance will be required. From Engineering the plans have been submitted and are under review. Any comments will be furnished to the applicant's engineer and again we would just like to note that the plat submitted does indicate a 15 foot side yard setback on the east side of subplot 1 where a 35 foot side yard setback is required. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Foulkes.

Mr. Foulkes – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Building Department, there is no report. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. French.

Mr. French – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Fire Department, there is no report. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Kolick.

Mr. Kolick – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. There will be Covenant and Deeds that will add this to the overall High Point as well as Covenant and Deeds for the Association between these three lots. Tonight we will need to deny Item "a" since it does not meet the density requirement and table Items "b" and "c" until they return from the Board of Zoning Appeals. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, I would entertain a motion for High Point.

Mr. McDonald – Mrs. Chairwoman.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. McDonald.

Mr. McDonald – I move to give favorable consideration for Revised Overall Preliminary approval for the proposed High Point SFD & CD Subdivision, Phase 12; property located on Drake Road, PPN 397-19-004 zoned R1-75.

Mrs. Walker – Second.

Mrs. Barth – Secretary please call the roll.

Roll Called

All Nays

DENIED

Mrs. Barth – We are going to table Items “b” and “c” and we will refer you to the BZA.

**WOODPECKERS/ Frank Villanti, Agent**

Parcel Consolidation of PPN 392-16-006 and 008 located at 13700 Prospect Road zoned General Industrial.

Mrs. Barth – Item Number Three, Woodpeckers, please step forward and state your name and address for the record.

Mr. Villanti – Frank Villanti, 1270 West Clifton Blvd., Lakewood, Ohio 44107.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, we will now listen to the Administrative Reports, Mrs. Daley.

Mrs. Daley – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the City Planner, this request is for approval of a lot consolidation of PPN 392-16-006 and 008 to create a single parcel consisting of 5.669 acres and having 400 feet of frontage on Prospect Road. Approval is recommended by the City Planner. From Engineering the plat is in approvable form. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Foulkes.

Mr. Foulkes – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Building Department, there is no report. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. French.

Mr. French – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Fire Department, there is no report. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Kolick.

Mr. Kolick – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. This is cleaning up the lot on Prospect Road. They are doing what we requested that they do as a condition of the prior approval so you are in a position to act on this matter this evening. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Are there any questions or comments? Hearing none, I would entertain a motion for Woodpeckers.

Mr. McDonald – Mrs. Chairwoman.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. McDonald.

Mr. McDonald – I move to give favorable consideration for Parcel Consolidation of PPN 392-16-006 and 008 located at 13700 Prospect Road zoned General Industrial.

Mrs. Walker – Second.

Mrs. Barth – Secretary please call the roll.

Roll Called

All Ayes

APPROVED

**AT & T/ Mike Williams, Agent**

Site plan approval for the addition of an above ground telecommunications cabinet and conduit within a private easement located at 17460 Whitney Road, PPN 395-20-001 zoned RMF-1.

Mrs. Barth – Item Number Four, AT & T, please step forward and state your name and address for the record.

Mr. Saylor – Gary Saylor, 6835 Greenleaf, Parma Hts., Ohio

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, we will now listen to the Administrative Reports, Mrs. Daley.

Mrs. Daley – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the City Planner, the plans are in approvable form. From Engineering the plans are in approvable form. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Foulkes.

Mr. Foulkes – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Building Department, the plans are in approvable form subject to submittal and a plan review. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Mr. French.

Mr. French – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. From the Fire Department, there is no report. Thank you.

Mrs. Barth – Thank you, Mr. Kolick.

Mr. Kolick – Thank you Mrs. Chairwoman. I reviewed and approved the easement on this parcel so you are in a position to act on this matter. Thank you.



Hearing no other business to come before the Commission, the Chairman adjourned the meeting.

---

Charlene Barth, Chairman

*Carol M. Oprea*   
Carol M. Oprea, Recording Secretary

---

Approved